

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

FILED
2008 JUL -2 A 11: 20

U.S. DIST. COURT CLERK
EAST. DIST. MICHIGAN
DETROIT

JAMES R. TOMSIC,

Plaintiff,

v.

C/O BLATTER, et. al.,

Defendants,

Civil Action No. 2:08-CV-12741
HONORABLE LAWRENCE P. ZATKOFF
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
HONORABLE CHARLES E. BINDER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

OPINION AND ORDER TRANSFERRING PLAINTIFF'S CIVIL RIGHTS
COMPLAINT TO THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

James R. Tomsic, ("plaintiff"), presently confined at the Cooper Street Correctional Facility in Jackson, Michigan, has filed a civil rights complaint in this district against the defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In his complaint, plaintiff claims that his constitutional rights were violated by the defendants while he was incarcerated at the Florence Crane Correctional Facility, located in Coldwater, Michigan. For the reasons stated below, the Court will transfer this matter to the Western District of Michigan for further proceedings.

I. DISCUSSION

In the present case, all of the actions complained of by plaintiff took place at the Florence Crane Correctional Facility in Coldwater, Michigan, which is located in the Western District of Michigan. The defendants named in the complaint reside in the Western District of Michigan.

Venue is in the judicial district where either all defendants reside or where the

claim arose. *Al-Muhaymin v. Jones*, 895 F. 2d 1147, 1148 (6th Cir. 1990); 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where the action might have been brought. See *United States v. P.J. Dick, Inc.*, 79 F. Supp. 2d 803, 805-06 (E.D. Mich. 2000)(Gadola, J.); 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Venue of a lawsuit may be transferred *sua sponte* for the convenience of parties or witnesses. *Sadighi v. Daghighfekr*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 267, 278 (D.S.C. 1999).

The factors that guide a district court's discretion in deciding whether to transfer a case include: (1) the convenience of the witnesses; (2) the location of relevant documents and the relative ease of access to sources of proof; (3) the convenience of the parties; (4) the locus of the operative facts; (5) the availability of process to compel the attendance of unwilling witnesses; (6) the relative means of the parties; (7) the forum's familiarity with governing law; (8) the weight accorded the plaintiff's choice of forum; and (9) trial efficiency and interests of justice, based upon the totality of the circumstances. *Overland, Inc. v. Taylor*, 79 F. Supp. 2d 809, 811 (E.D. Mich. 2000)(Gadola, J.).

The Court concludes that both for the convenience of the parties and witnesses, as well as in the interests of justice, the present matter must be transferred to the Western District of Michigan. Although plaintiff is currently incarcerated at the Cooper Street Correctional Facility, which is located in this district, venue is more appropriate in the Western District of Michigan, because all of the "operative facts" in this case took place at the Crane Correctional Facility, which is located in the Western District of

Michigan. See *Pierce v. Coughlin*, 806 F. Supp. 426, 428 (S.D.N.Y. 1992). Because the Crane Correctional Facility was the primary situs of the material events in plaintiff's civil rights lawsuit, plaintiff's choice of forum has little weight in the Court's determination. See *Boyd v. Snyder*, 44 F. Supp. 2d 966, 971 (N.D. Ill. 1999). Finally, the witnesses and files necessary to prosecute these claims are located in the Western District of Michigan. For these reasons, transfer of this action to the Western District would be proper. See *Welch v. Kelly*, 882 F. Supp. 177, 180 (D.D.C. 1995). Accordingly, this matter will be transferred to the Western District of Michigan for further proceedings.

II. ORDER

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the Clerk of the Court to transfer this case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).



HON. R. STEVEN WHALEN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: 6/30/08